The Development of a Decision Support System for Selecting Outstanding Teachers

Kholis Hermawan Informatics Department Faculty of Science and Technology State Islamic University Sunan Kalijaga Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Hendra Dea Arifin Informatics Department Faculty of Science and Technology State Islamic University Sunan Kalijaga Yogyakarta, Indonesia hendradea@usa.com Elvanisa Ayu Muhsina Informatics Department Faculty of Science and Technology State Islamic University Sunan Kalijaga Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Nurochman

Informatics Department Faculty of Science and Technology State Islamic University Sunan Kalijaga Yogyakarta, Indonesia nurochman@uin-suka.ac.id

Abstract—The Selection of Outstanding Teachers is an agenda of Department of Education Youth and Sports (Disdikpora) intended to encourage motivation, dedication, loyalty and professionalism of teachers, the expected positive impact on performance improvement. In general elections outstanding teachers have been running smoothly according to specified criteria. However, its implementation is still not optimal felt so necessary to improve, especially in the aspects of assessment. In the assessment of the current selection are inconsistent because one of the criteria assessments (portfolio) has different valuation ranges. Therefore, it is needed a decision support system that is able to provide consistency with the application of the normalization judgment and able to show the rank results of the selection. Decision support system methods used in this research are Simple Additive Weight (SAW) and the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP). Both methods support the assessment criteria and weighting. The criteria used for the assessment refer to the Outstanding Teacher Selection Handbook 2012 and based on the results of data analysis assessment Outstanding Teacher Selection of Junior High School and Vocational High School in 2012. The method for developing the system that is used in this research is waterfall method. The system is capable of displaying two alternative ranks of outstanding selection of teachers based on the SAW and AHP methods. This system is useful to provide a reference for the field of Technical Executives of Activities/ PPTK (Pejabat Pelaksana Teknis Kegiatan) Disdikpora particularly which are relevant in decision-making to determine the selection of outstanding teachers. Based on the results of testing the system's functionality, 96.7% stated functionality of the system running well, whereas the results of the testing interface, 38.96% for Strongly Agree, and 48.05% Agree.

Keywords-Simple Additive Weight; Analytic Hierarchy Process; Decision Support System; Youth and Sports Education Agency; Selection of Outstanding Teacher; Waterfall Method.

I. INTRODUCTION

Teachers are professional educators with the main task of educating, teaching, guiding, directing, training, and evaluating students in early childhood education, formal education, basic education, and secondary education [1]. Teachers not only have educative technical abilities, but also must have a reliable personality so that they become the role model for students, families and society. In line with the development policies that put the development of human resources (HR) as a national development priority, the position and role of teachers are increasingly strategic in preparing qualified human resources in the face of the global era [2].

The selection of outstanding teachers is intended, to encourage motivation, dedication, loyalty and professionalism of teachers, which are expected to have a positive effect on the performance and work performance of this global era, both academically and non-academically such as creativity in the field of work or achievements in sports, as well as new discoveries that can support the advancement of education [3]. Outstanding teachers are teachers as stated in Law No. 14 of 2005 concerning Article 8 about Teachers and Lecturers that "Teachers must have academic qualifications, competencies, educator certificates, physically, and mentally healthy, and have the ability to realize national education goals". Moreover, in Article 10 it is said that "Teacher competence as referred to in Article 8 includes pedagogical competence, personality competence, social competence, and professional competence obtained through professional education" [4].

This final project takes a case study at Sleman District Youth and Sports Education Office with the theme and research title "The Development of Decision Support System for The Selection of Outstanding Teachers" which applies decision-making methods, Simple Additive Weight (SAW) and Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP). This system will be built using the Java programming language and MySQL database.

II. THEORY

A. Simple Additive Weight (SAW)

The SAW method is often also known as weighted addition. The basic concept of the SAW method is to find a weighted sum from the performance rating on each alternative on all attributes. SAW method requires the process of normalizing the decision matrix (X) to a scale that can be compared with all available alternative ratings [5].

B. Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP)

Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) is a model that is flexible and can provide opportunities for individuals or groups to build ideas. AHP helps in defining problems by making their respective assumptions and obtaining the desired solution [6].

C. Multi Attribute Decision Making (MADM)

Multiple Attribute Decision Making (MADM) is a method of decision making to determine the best alternative from a number of alternatives based on certain criteria. MADM is used to solve problems in discrete space. Therefore, MADM is

III. METHODOLOGY

A. Study of Literature

The Ministry of Education and Culture, through the Directorate General of Secondary Education, gives serious attention to empowering teachers, especially outstanding teachers. This is in accordance with the mandate of the Law of the Republic of Indonesia Number 14 of 2005 concerning Teachers and Lecturers. The selection of outstanding teachers is the implementation of the mandate. Therefore, the literature study of this research is the Law of the Republic of Indonesia Number 14 of 2005 and the Selection Guidance of Good Teachers.

B. Data Collection

At this stage it aims to obtain data from the Office of Youth and Sports Education of Sleman Regency by consulting PPTK. The data used in this study were obtained by:

- Documentation study, namely data collection through archives of the results of the evaluation of outstanding teacher selection in 2012.
- Interview with The Department of Youth and Sports Education Office in Sleman Regency especially with staff from PPTK.

C. Data Analysis

At this stage the researcher studies and processes data to find an idea in the study. The researcher analyzed the comparison between the results of the assessment of the selection of outstanding teachers and the assessment format in the teacher's manual for achievement.

D. System Development

The development of this system uses Waterfall method of System Development Life Cycle (SDLC) method, which have the following process:

• System Planning

Identify the needs of system functionality, actors for systems, planning and system design.

• System Analysis

Stage for process modeling with the Unified Modeling Language (UML)

• System Design

The stage for designing the database and user interface.

• System implementation

The stage to build the system, in this case the system is built with Java and the MySQL database.

• System testing

System testing for evaluation and improvement.



This article is distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International</u> <u>License</u>. See for details: <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u> • System maintenance

To maintain the system and keep it stable.

IV. SYSTEM DESIGN

This Decision Support System for Selecting Outstanding Teachers is implemented in a desktop based system. The system is built by Java programming language, and uses MySQL database.

1) Login Form

Figure 1 shows a form which is used to enter the system.



Figure 1. Login Form

2) Home Page

Figure 2 is the main page of the system.



Figure 2. Home Page

3) Teachers Data Form

Figure 3 is a form that can be used to process teacher's data.

ata Guru			Pengaturan	Pencarian			
NUPTK	002		+ Tanbah	Kriteria : NJP	tκ	¥	
Nama	51						
nama	bu		ℓ Ubah	Kata kundi :			
Sekolah	Godean 2		Cetal		Carl		
			Sinpert 1				
			¥ Hapus				
aftar Data	a Guru						
aftar Data	NUPTK	Nama			Sekolah		
		Nama		Tempel	Sekolah		
001		Hanik Sri		Tempel Goderan 2	Sekolah		
001 002 003		Hanik Sri		Godean 2 Depok 2			
001 002 003 004		Honik 51 Aol Sita			🧷 Ubah		
001 002 003 004		Hanik Sri		Godean 2 Depok 2			
001 002 003 004 005 006		Hanik Bri Asd Sta Maward Barmani		Godeen 2 Depok 2 Depok 1	🧷 Ubah		
001 002 003 004 005 006		Honik Sti Sta Manardi		Godean 2 Depok 2 Depok 1 Kalasan Godean 1	🧷 Ubah		
001 222 003 004 005 006 007 008		Hank 20 Sta Sta Manand Barnani Eri Vitore		Godeen 2 Depok 2 Depok 1 Kalasan	🧷 Ubah		
001 002 003 004 005 006 007 008		Honk Aal Sta Maxand Barnaai En		Godean 2 Depoit 2 Depoit 1 Kalasan Godean 1 Congining Bentul	🧷 Ubah		
001 003 004 005 005 005 007 008 009		Honk Ci Aut Sita Masard Barmani En Vitron Vitron Vitrols		Godean 2 Depok 2 Depok 1 Kalasan Godean 1 Cangkring Bantul Mrican	🧷 Ubah		
001 002 003 004 005 006 007 008 009 010 010 011		Honk Bi And Stata Manand Barmani Dri Dri Dri Dri Scola		Ecdean 2 Depok 2 Depok 1 Kalasan Godean 1 Cangkring Bantul Mircan Jogia Bonegung	🧷 Ubah		
001 002 003 004 005 006 007 008 009 010 011 012		Honk Bi And Stata Manand Barmani Dri Dri Dri Dri Scola		Eodean 2 Depok 2 Depok 1 Kalasan Godean 1 Congkring Bartul Mincan Jogge	🧷 Ubah		
001 003 004 005 006 007 008 009 010 011 012 013		Vork 20 Aut Sta Marand Barman Protect Pro		Ecdean 2 Depok 2 Depok 1 Kalasan Godean 1 Cangkring Bantul Mircan Jogia Bonegung	🧷 Ubah		
003 004 005 006 007 008 009 010 011 012 013 014		Honk Si Ada Barasa Barasa Ba Vorsa Nona Nona Nona Sopan Gogan		Godean 2 Depok 2 Depok 1 Kalasan Godean 1 Cangkring Bantul Mican Jogla Bonagung Quia	🧷 Ubah		
001 002 003 004 005 006 007 008 009 010 011 012 013 014 015		Vork 20 Aut Sta Marand Barman Protect Pro		Endean 2 Depok 2 Depok 1 Kalasan Godean 1 Congking Bantal Milican Jogge Bonagung Qalo Dinama	🧷 Ubah		
001 022 003 004 005 006 007 009 010 011 012 013 014		Vork St Au Stau Stau Stau Stau Stau Stau Stau Sta		Boliess 2 Depok 2 Depok 1 Kalasan Godan 1 Cangkring Bentul Mican Joga Bentugung Quio Dimana Salvania	🧷 Ubah		

Figure 3. Teachers Data Form

4) Period Form

The form in Figure 4 serves to process the period for a selection. Performed before processing criteria and participant data.

Bit Break Bit Break Bit Break Statement Bit Break Bit Break			Periode
Provide for Multiplet		Id Periode	
(Add rapp) Image: Control (Control (Contro) (Contro) (Control (Control (Contro) (Control (Contro) (Control	Periode 2012 Tingkat SMA Kabupaten Sleman		
Colorages Displayment		3	2012 Hingkat SMK kabupaten Sieman
B Feedban Gurs (Repretats Tinglat 194 er Läbusten Sinnan 1 Hart Das 19 2 Hert Das 19 3 Hart Das 19 10 Hart Das 19	No. and the second se	8	2012 Inglat SMP Rabupaten Sieman
1 %		6	Ter d Ubab
4 Particle 300 3 Marticle 30 3	Pemilihan Guru Berprestasi Tingkat SMA se-Kabupaten Sieman	6	Test Data 200 2
3 harbis 8 2 2 herbos 5 1 (2012/2013		4	
		3	
ngdum		2	
ngdum		1	2012 / 2013
	🕂 Tambah 🖉 Ubah 🔊 Batal 📓 Simpon		

Figure 4. Period Form

5) Criteria Form

Criteria form is used to process the data of criteria in each selected period. It is shown in Figure 5.

Periode Pe	press and a second seco		emilhan Guru E	lerprestasi : -	
Periode	8.2012 Tegkat SHP Kabupaten Sleman	T Daftar Krite	na Penlaian		
Cata Krite	ria .	28	Id Kriteria	Nama Kriteria Tertula	Bobot (%) 30.0
Kriteria	Portatalio	29		Presentas	40.0
	The second se			1993 Contraction of the International Contractional Contractionactional Contractional Contractional Contractionactional Contract	20.0
Bobot	30.0 % (Peturpuk Pengalan)	-			
Sisa	30.0 % Kiak	Petunjuk Pengisian		(Carles)	
		Pet	Linjuk Pengisian Bobol	Kritera	
		1. Bobot Dalam sk 2. Bobot Total da	ala % (persen)	aria articlab 100%	
engeture		3. Bustrasi Pernoci – Tertuis = 30%			
1	antrati / Linda	- Presentasi = 40			
	2 (100) - 7 Data	- Portofolo = 30 4. Pada saat Anda	memasukka nibi kr	teria, maka pada Reid	
		mash tersisa deng	an aturan:	masi nilai Bobot yang	
His	Rinpan 💥 Historia	– Bobot Tersisa =	Bobot Tersisa Seb	elum - Nilai Bobot	

Figure 5. Criteria Form

6) Participant Form

Admin must first select the period before processing participants' data. This process uses form on Figure 6.



This article is distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International</u> <u>License</u>. See for details: <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>

NATIC NA	Periode	7.2012 Tingkat. SMA Kabupaten Sleman 🖤	Periode Pemilihan Guru B Daftar Peserta	
Valid D2 The StrateG, MI 201 An Intergrad, MI 20 An Intergrad, MI 203 An Intergrad, MI The Strate And MI MI 204 The Strate And MI MI MI MI MI MI 204 The Strate And MI MI				
UPIN: D1 D2 D4 This Vergence, 504 D4 This Vergence, 504 D4 This Vergence, 504 D5 This Vergence, 504 D5 This Vergence, 504 D6 This Vergence, 504 D6 This Vergence, 504	ta Peserta		301	
04 Tel: Roman, Hell 04 Tel: Roman, Hell 05 Tel: Roman, Hell	A RTV	101		Tri Iswyad, SPd
Jos Tudo Catar Hepani Jos Tudo Catar Hepani Adala Denezaria, Pel Jos Tudo Catar Hepani Jos Tudo Catar Hepani Jos Tudo Catar Hepani Jos Dun reterin, Pel Jos Tudo Almatipuna, Hirl Jos Dun reterin, Pel Jos Tudo Almatipuna, Hirl Jos Dun tan heatipuna, Hirl Jos Dun tan heatipuna, Hirl	or in.	1004		
Single Data Nacional Discoversity, Mel Discoversity, Mel 207 Det Hond Startingen Dit 207 Det Honding Units Dit 208 Paradina fun Hondrage Dit 209 End al-Restriction Dit			104	Trick Krisnawab, PPro
adular (27 Div trans, 57 28 Prod. h 1 Seregan 29 Brids a Franzisco (19 Prod.) 29 Brids a Franzisco (19 Prod.) 29 Brids a Franzisco (19 Prod.) 20 Brids a Franzisco (19 Prod.)	lama Lenokap	Dra, Yuka Catur Hapsari		pri winaro, seo
Jalah (1944) 15 yengan (20) Neurolina turi Kidyangah (20) Pergatuan (20) (20) (20) (20) (20) (20) (20) (20)			200	
209 jordra Prestylena, MPd	skolab	KMA N 1 Sevene		
Pengaturan		brok is to stability	200	
		ebah 📑 Simpan 🗶 Hapus 🔊 Batal	J	
		ean Bryon Xingaa Yi Baal		
		en Buryon Yinga vitad		

Figure 6. Participant Form

7) Assessment Form

This form in Figure 7 is used to enter participants scores based on each criterion.

riode Pemih	ian -		Periode I	emilihan Gu	ru Berprestasi : -	
			- Deta Peniain	Individu		
Periode	7.2012 Tingkat 5M	IA Kabupaten Sleman	Ŧ			
aftar Pesert			NUPTK	102		
a la rese i	NUPTK	Nama Lengkap				
101	NUPTK		Nana	Tri Ismiyati, Si	*d	
101		Dra. Yulia Catur Hapsari Tri Taniwati, SPM				
103		Aris Wideryanti, SPd	- Constraints	er Kriteria		
104		Titk Krisnawati, MPd	(Transmi			
105		Sri Winarti, SPd		5d Kriteria	Nama Kriteria	Bobot
106		Suharyante, MPd	21 22		Tertule Wawancara	253
107		Dvi Hartini, SPd	23		Bhs.Jng & TIK	136.5
108		Marcelina Yuni Widvaningsh	23		Presented	130.3
109		Endra Prasetuana, MPd	25		Portofolo	1793
			Kriteri Tertu		Nier [253]	~
				Lbah	🔊 Satal 💾 Sinpan	22 Hapus

Figure 7. Assessment Form

8) Result Form

This form in Figure 8 implements the SAW and AHP methods for ranking. If the SAW ranking result table is different with the AHP ranking table, the system will give a different font color; red for SAW ranking, and blue for AHP ranking result.



Figure 8. Result Form

9) Detail Graph Form





Figure 9 shows a detailed graph form.

10) Report For	rm
🛓 Form Laporar	
Pilih Periode Per	nilihan
Pilih Periode :	7.2012 Tingkat SMA Kabupaten Sleman
Keterangan :	Pemilihan Guru Berprestasi Tingkat SMA se-Kabupaten Sleman
Metode :	Simple Additive Weight (SAW)
	Cetak

Figure 10. Report Form

Figure 10 shows a report form.



This article is distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International</u> <u>License</u>. See for details: <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>

	-	APLI		N HASIL PERHITUNGAN ILIHAN GURU BERPRESTA	ASI		
Period	le : 2012 Tingki	Save Save in:	My Docum	nents	💌 🤌 🗁 🗔		
Rank	le Perangkingan : NUF	3		o Converter			
1	102	Recent Items	ASUS				
2	101		Edraw M				
3	103	Desktop	My ISO F	iles			
4	104		My Palet				
5	106	My Documents	NetBean	sProjects			
6	105		鷆 Visual Str	udio 2008			
7	107	- 1					
8	108	Computer					
9	109		File name:	Laporan Pemilihan Guru Berprestasi 2012		Save	
		Network	Files of type:	JasperReports (*.jrprint) JasperReports (*.jrprint)	v *	Cancel	F
				PDF (*.pdf) RTF (*.rtf) OOT (*.odt) DOCX (*.dock)			
				HTML (*.htm, *.html) Single sheet XLS (*.xls)			

Figure 11. Report Form 2

This form in Figure 11 processes activities after the previous process in Figure 10.

11) Change Password Form

0	🛓 Form Ganti Password	×
	2	Ganti Password
	Username	
	Password Lama	
	Password Baru	
	Verifikasi Password Baru	
		💾 Simpan 🄊 Batal

Figure 12. Change Password Form

If a user wants to change its password, the for in Figure 12 can be used.

12) Backup-Restore Form

🔔 BackUp-Re	store	V01. 5, 110. 2, 201
-Masukkan Us	er dan Password databa	se
User		
Password		
	🚽 Back Up	Restore



Figure 13 shows the form for backing up the system.

V. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

A. Test Result

We have conducted a test for this system which involved 11 respondents consisting of staffs from the Sleman District Youth and Sports Education Office, whose education levels come from various levels. Tests are carried out on system functionality and system interfaces. The test results on system functionality can be seen in Table I.

No	Statements	Y	N
1	If the username and password are incorrect or the username is not registered, then it cannot log in to the system	11	0
2	The system is able to provide convenience in managing and ranking data for the admin.	11	0
3	The system can display teacher's data information	9	2
4	The system can display selection of period data information	11	0
5	The system can display information on the assessment criteria's data	11	0
6	The system can display information on participant's data	9	2
7	The system can display assessment data information	22	0
8	The system can display information on ranking data	11	0
9	The system can display a comparison chart	11	0
10	Users can change passwords via the password setting's form	11	0
11	The user after logging out, can no longer operate the system	11	0
Total		128	4
Percent	tage	96.97	3.03



This article is distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International</u> License. See for details: <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>

B. Discussion

Based on the results of the implementation of the SAW and AHP methods on the system, ranking has been produced without any inconsistency in assessment. This is evidenced by the comparison of the results of the assessment of each criterion that is not significant when compared with before using a system that applies the SAW and AHP methods. For example, the results of the assessment of Dra. Hewi Murdaningsih. M.Pd.Si before and after system usage as in Table 2.

C	Criteria		Percentage	Portfolio
PBG 2012	Value	20.67	33.2	981.9
result	(%) Total	2%	3.21%	94.80%
SAW	After processing	0.271	0.398	0.3
51111	(%) Total	27.97%	41.07%	30.96%
АНР	After processing	0.03	0.041	0.061
	(%) Total	22.73%	31.06%	46.21%

TABLE II.COMPARISON RESULTS BEFORE AND AFTER

Based on the results of testing the system functionality and system interfaces, it was concluded that most respondents or testers stated the functionality of the system is good. Data from the system functionality test results show that 96.97% states that the functionality of the system is functioning properly (Y) and 3.03% states that the functionality of the system is not functioning properly (N). The percentage of testers who state system functionality does not function properly due to the lack of teacher data information expected by the examiner. Therefore, it is necessary to add teacher data information displayed in this system.

The test results based on the system interface concluded that most testers agreed with the system interface that had been made. Data from system interface testing results show that 38.96% Strongly Agree (SS), 48.05% Agree (S), 12.99% Disagree (KS), and 0% Disagree (TS).

Based on the explanation above, it can be concluded that the decision support system for the selection of good/ high achieving teachers is feasible, but there is still a need for further system development in order to obtain more optimal results.

VI. CONCLUSION

Based on the research that has been done by researchers during data collection, design to the implementation of the Achievement Teacher Selection Decision Support System, then some conclusions can be drawn as follows:

- This research has succeeded in developing an Achieved Teacher Election Decision Support System that can facilitate the assessment process of achieving teacher selection, as indicated by the percentage of system functionality testing of 96.97% answering "yes" and 3.03% answering "no". The system interface test results show that 38.96% for Strongly Agree, 48.05% Agree, 12.99% Less Agree, and 0% Disagree.
- This research has succeeded in developing the Achievement Teacher Decision Support System that applies the SAW and AHP methods to rank teachers with achievement.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Respect and profound gratitude to Father, Mother and all members of the extended family of author, who have provided encouragement, support and prayer, and also Mr. Nurochman.

References

- I. Government, "Lembaran Negara Republik Indonesia in 2003 No. 78 About The National Education System." State Secretariat, Jakarta, 2003.
- [2] Yuzelma, Cik Gu: A to Z, 1st ed. Yogyakarta: Deepublisher, 2018.
- [3] B. K. William and S. C. Sawyer, Using Information Technology: A Practical Introduction to Computers and Communications. Boston: Mc Graw Hill, 2001.
- [4] I. Government, Law of The Republic Of Indonesia Number 14 of 2005 About Teachers and Lecturers. Jakarta: State Secretariat, 2005.
- [5] S. Kusumadewi, Fuzzy Multi Attribute Decision Making (Fuzzy MADM). Yogyakarta: Graha Ilmu, 2006.
- [6] T. L. Saaty, Pengambilan Keputusan Bagi Para Pemimpin, Proses Hirarki Analitik untuk Pengambilan Keputusan dalam Situasi yang Kompleks. Jakarta: Pustaka Binaman Pressindo, 1993.

AUTHORS PROFILE

- Kholis Hermawan is an alumni of Informatics Department, Faculty of Science and Technology, State Islamic University Sunan Kalijaga Yogyakarta, since 2015.
- Hendra Dea Arifin is a student of Informatics Department, Faculty of Science and Technology, State Islamic University Sunan Kalijaga Yogyakarta, since 2016. Based in Bantul, Yogyakarta.
- Nurochman, a lecturer at Informatics Department, Faculty of Science and Technology, State Islamic University Sunan Kalijaga Yogyakarta.



This article is distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International</u> License. See for details: <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>